

## TROP, PRUNER &amp; HU, P.C.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW ATTORNEYS

8554 Katy Freeway, Suite 100  
Houston, Texas 77024  
Bus: (713) 468-8880  
Fax: (713) 468-8883

**Fax****Official**

To: Examiner Thu Ha T.  
Nguyen From: Fred G. Pruner, Jr.

---

Company: U.S. Patent and Trademark  
Office Date: June 9, 2003

---

Fax: 703-746-7238 Pages: 3 (including coversheet)

---

Your Re: 09/364,085 Our Re: ILO149US (P6585)

---

Urgent    For Review    Please Comment    Please Reply    Confirm Receipt

---

**MESSAGE:**

Applicant: Uri Elzur  
Serial No. 09/364,085  
Filed: July 30, 1999  
Title: Associating A Packet With A Flow

**1. Request for Reconsideration**

• **Notice:** This information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmittal sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify the sender by telephone immediately so that arrangements can be made for the retrieval of the original document at no cost to you.

# 15  
LDS  
6-11-03

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Applicant: Uri Elzur § Art Unit: 2155  
Serial No.: 09/364,085 §  
Filed: July 30, 1999 § Examiner: Thu Ha T. Nguyen  
Title: Associating a Packet § Docket No. ITL.0149US  
With a Flow § (P6585)

Mail Stop AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Official  
6/9/03

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Dear Sir:

In an Office Action mailed on April 11, 2003, the Examiner maintained the § 103 rejections of claims 1-8 and 14-19.

In this Office Action, the Examiner acknowledges that in order to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, there must be "some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art." Final Office Action, 2. In view of this requirement, the Examiner states, "the reason to combine the teaching of Jackowski and Law to have the storing table in a memory of peripheral because it would make the loading faster and efficient performance between client and server." *Id.* However, a mere conclusion by the Examiner by itself is not sufficient to support a *prima facie* case of obviousness.

More specifically, the Examiner acknowledges that the alleged suggestion or motivation must be found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. The alleged suggestion or motivation does not appear in the cited references, as the Examiner can point to no specific language showing the alleged suggestion or motivation. *Ex parte Gambogi*, 62 USPQ2d 1209, 1212 (Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 2001); *In re*

Date of Deposit: June 9, 2003  
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Fax. No. 703-746-7238) on the date indicated above.

*Janice Munoz*  
Janice Munoz

*Rijckaert*, 28 USPQ2d 1955, 1957 (Fed. Cir. 1993); M.P.E.P. § 2143.(a) as being unpatentable over Jackowski in view of Law.

It appears the Examiner is relying on the general level of skill in the art to supply the alleged suggestion or motivation. However, this is improper, as "rarely, however, will the skill in the art component operate to supply missing knowledge or prior art to reach an obviousness judgment." *Al-Site Corp. v. VSI Int'l, Inc.*, 50 USPQ2d 1161, 1171 (Fed. Cir. 1999). If the Examiner is relying on the general level of skill in the art to supply the alleged suggestion or motivation, then Applicant traverses the Examiner's assertion and requests a reference to support the Examiner's position. See M.P.E.P. § 2144.03.

Therefore, because the Examiner has failed to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness for any of the rejected claims, Applicant requests withdrawal of the § 103 rejections of claims 1-8 and 14-19.

No fee is believed due with this Reply. However, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 20-1504 (ITL.0149US).

Date: June 9, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

Fred G. Pruner, Jr. Reg. No. 40,779  
TRCP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.  
8554 Katy Freeway, Suite 100  
Houston, Texas 77024  
(713) 468-8880 [Phone]  
(713) 468-8883 [Fax]